

## Guest Editorial

# Reducing the risks of nuclear war – the role of health professionals

Kamran Abbasi<sup>1</sup>, Parveen Ali<sup>2</sup>, Virginia Barbour<sup>3</sup>, Kirsten Bibbins-Domingo<sup>4</sup>, Marcel G. M. Olde Rikkert<sup>5</sup>, Andy Haines<sup>6</sup>, Ira Helfand<sup>7</sup>, Richard Horton<sup>8</sup>, Bob Mash<sup>9</sup>, Arun Mitra<sup>7</sup>, Carlos Monteiro<sup>10</sup>, Elena N. Naumova<sup>11</sup>, Eric J. Rubin<sup>12</sup>, Tilman Ruff<sup>7</sup>, Peush Sahni<sup>13</sup>, James Tumwine<sup>14</sup>, Paul Yonga<sup>15</sup>, Chris Zielinski<sup>16</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Editor-in-Chief, British Medical Journal, <sup>2</sup>Editor-in-Chief, International Nursing Review, <sup>3</sup>Editor-in-Chief, Medical Journal of Australia, <sup>4</sup>Editor-in-Chief, JAMA, <sup>5</sup>Editor-in-Chief, Dutch Journal of Medicine, <sup>6</sup>London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, <sup>7</sup>Past President, International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War, <sup>8</sup>Editor-in-Chief, The Lancet, <sup>9</sup>Editor-in-Chief, African Journal of Primary Health Care and Family Medicine, <sup>10</sup>Editor-in-Chief, Revista de Saúde Pública, <sup>11</sup>Editor-in-Chief, Journal of Public Health Policy, <sup>12</sup>Editor-in-Chief, New England Journal of Medicine, <sup>13</sup>Editor, National Medical Journal of India, <sup>14</sup>Editor-in-Chief, African Health Sciences, <sup>15</sup>Editor-in-Chief, East African Medical Journal, <sup>16</sup>University of Winchester, World Association of Medical Editors.

In January 2023, the Science and Security Board of the Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists moved the hands of the Doomsday Clock forward to 90 seconds before midnight, reflecting the growing risk of nuclear war.<sup>[1]</sup> In August 2022, the UN Secretary-General António Guterres warned that the world is now in “a time of nuclear danger not seen since the height of the Cold War.”<sup>[2]</sup> The danger has been underlined by growing tensions between many nuclear-armed states.<sup>[1,3]</sup> As editors of health and medical journals worldwide, we call on health professionals to alert the public and our leaders to this major danger to public health and the essential life support systems of the planet – and urge action to prevent it.

Current nuclear arms control and non-proliferation efforts are inadequate to protect the world’s population against the threat of nuclear war by design, error, or miscalculation. The Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT) commits each of the 190 participating nations “to pursue negotiations in good faith on effective measures relating to cessation of the nuclear arms race at an early date and to nuclear disarmament, and on a treaty on general and complete disarmament under strict and effective international control.”<sup>[4]</sup> Progress has been disappointingly slow and the most recent NPT review conference in 2022 ended without an agreed statement.<sup>[5]</sup> There are many examples of near disasters that have exposed the risks of depending on nuclear deterrence for the indefinite future.<sup>[6]</sup> Modernization of nuclear arsenals could increase risks: For example, hypersonic missiles decrease the time available to distinguish between an attack and a false alarm, increasing the likelihood of rapid escalation.

Any use of nuclear weapons would be catastrophic for humanity. Even a “limited” nuclear war involving only 250

of the 13,000 nuclear weapons in the world could kill 120 million people outright and cause global climate disruption leading to a nuclear famine, putting 2 billion people at risk.<sup>[7,8]</sup> A large-scale nuclear war between the USA and Russia could kill 200 million people or more in the near term and potentially cause a global “nuclear winter” that could kill 5–6 billion people, threatening the survival of humanity.<sup>[7,8]</sup> Once a nuclear weapon is detonated, escalation to all-out nuclear war could occur rapidly. The prevention of any use of nuclear weapons is therefore an urgent public health priority and fundamental steps must also be taken to address the root cause of the problem – by abolishing nuclear weapons.

The health community has had a crucial role in efforts to reduce the risk of nuclear war and must continue to do so in the future.<sup>[9]</sup> In the 1980s, the efforts of health professionals, led by the International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War (IPPNW), helped to end the Cold War arms race by educating policy makers and the public on both sides of the Iron Curtain about the medical consequences of nuclear war. This was recognized when the 1985 Nobel Peace Prize was awarded to the IPPNW<sup>[10]</sup> (<http://www.ippnw.org>). In 2007, the IPPNW launched the International Campaign to Abolish Nuclear Weapons, which grew into a global civil society campaign with hundreds of partner organizations. A pathway to nuclear abolition was created with the adoption of the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons in 2017, for which the International Campaign to Abolish Nuclear Weapons was awarded the 2017 Nobel Peace Prize. International medical organizations, including the International Committee of the Red Cross, the IPPNW, the World Medical Association, the World Federation of Public Health Associations, and the International Council of Nurses, had key roles in the

\*Corresponding author: Chris Zielinski, Visiting Fellow, University of Winchester and Vice-President, World Association of Medical Editors, Winchester, United Kingdom. [czielinski@ippnw.org](mailto:czielinski@ippnw.org)

Received: 17 August 2023 Accepted: 17 August 2023 Published: 02 September 2023 DOI: 10.25259/SRJHS\_46\_2023

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, transform, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms. ©2023 Published by Scientific Scholar on behalf of Sri Ramachandra Journal of Health Sciences

process leading up to the negotiations, and in the negotiations themselves, presenting the scientific evidence about the catastrophic health and environmental consequences of nuclear weapons and nuclear war. They continued this important collaboration during the First Meeting of the States Parties to the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons, which currently has 92 signatories, including 68 member states.<sup>[11]</sup>

We now call on health professional associations to inform their members worldwide about the threat to human survival and to join with the IPPNW to support efforts to reduce the near-term risks of nuclear war, including three immediate steps on the part of nuclear-armed states and their allies: first, adopt a no first use policy;<sup>[12]</sup> second, take their nuclear weapons off hair-trigger alert; and, third, urge all states involved in current conflicts to pledge publicly and unequivocally that they will not use nuclear weapons in these conflicts. We further ask them to work for a definitive end to the nuclear threat by supporting the urgent commencement of negotiations among the nuclear-armed states for a verifiable, timebound agreement to eliminate their nuclear weapons in accordance with commitments in the NPT, opening the way for all nations to join the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons.

The danger is great and growing. The nuclear-armed states must eliminate their nuclear arsenals before they eliminate us. The health community played a decisive part during the Cold War and more recently in the development of the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons. We must take up this challenge again as an urgent priority, working with renewed energy to reduce the risks of nuclear war and to eliminate nuclear weapons.

#### Note

This editorial is being published simultaneously in multiple journals. For the full list of journals see: <https://www.bmj.com/content/full-list-authors-and-signatories-nuclear-risk-editorial-august-2023>

#### Conflicts of interests

All of the authors report that they have no competing interests aside from any affiliations as editors.

## REFERENCES

1. Science and Security Board, Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists. A time of unprecedented danger: It is 90 seconds to midnight. 2023 doomsday clock statement. Jan 24, 2023. Available from: <https://thebulletin.org/doomsday-clock/current-time> [Last accessed on 2023 Jun 01].
2. United Nations. Future generations counting on our commitment to step back from abyss, lift cloud of nuclear annihilation for good, secretary-general tells review conference, press release Aug 1, 2022 SG/SM/21394. Available from: <https://press.un.org/en/2022/sgsm21394.doc.htm> [Last accessed on 2023 Jul 10].
3. Tollefson J. Is nuclear war more likely after Russia's suspension of the New START treaty? *Nature* 2023;615:386.
4. United Nations. Review conference of the parties to the treaty on the non-proliferation of nuclear weapons (NPT). May 2-27, 2005. Available from: <https://www.un.org/en/conf/npt/2005/npptreaty.html> [Last accessed 2023 Jun 02].
5. Mukhatzhanova G. 10<sup>th</sup> NPT review conference: Why it was doomed and how it almost succeeded. Arms control association. October, 2022. Available from: <https://www.armscontrol.org/act/2022-10/features/10th-npt-review-conference-why-doomed-almost-succeeded> [Last accessed on 2023 Jun 02].
6. Lewis P, Williams H, Pelopidas B, Aghlani S. Too close for comfort, cases of near nuclear use and options for policy. Chatham house report. April, 2014. Available from: <https://www.chathamhouse.org/2014/04/too-close-comfort-cases-near-nuclear-use-and-options-policy> [Last accessed on 2023 Jun 01].
7. Bivens M. Nuclear famine. IPPNW. August, 2022. Available from: <https://www.ippnw.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/english-nuclear-famine-report-final-bleed-marks.pdf> [Last accessed on 2023 Jun 01].
8. Xia L, Robock A, Scherrer K, Harrison CS, Bodirsky BL, Weindl I, *et al.* Global food insecurity and famine from reduced crop, marine fishery and livestock production due to climate disruption from nuclear war soot injection. *Nat Food* 2022;3:586-96.
9. Helfand I, Lewis P, Haines A. Reducing the risks of nuclear war to humanity. *Lancet* 2022;399:1097-8.
10. Nobel Prize Outreach AB. International physicians for the prevention of nuclear war-facts; 1985. Available from: <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/peace/1985/physicians/facts> [Last accessed on 2023 Jun 01].
11. UN Office for Disarmament Affairs. Treaties database. Treaty on the prohibition of nuclear weapons, status of the treaty; 2023. Available from: <https://treaties.unoda.org/tpnw> [Last accessed on 2023 Jun 01].
12. Center for Arms Control and Non-Proliferation. No first use: Frequently asked questions; 2023. Available from: <https://armscontrolcenter.org/issues/no-first-use/no-first-use-frequently-asked-questions> [Last accessed on 2023 Jun 02].

**How to cite this article:** Abbasi K, Ali P, Barbour V, Bibbins-Domingo K, Olde Rikkert MG, Haines A, *et al.* Reducing the risks of nuclear war – the role of health professionals. *Sri Ramachandra J Health Sci* 2023;3:3-4.